RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 2 2 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Applicant: Schommer) Art U	Art Unit: 3752	
Serial No.: 09/901,155) Exam	iner: Kim	
Filed:	July 10, 2001)) 1118.	002
For:	WATER CONSERVING APPARATUS	AND	CLEANING) 750 E	ary 21, 2005 STREET, Suite 3120 Diego, CA 92101

<u>AMENDMENT</u>

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

The telephonic interview of February 18, 2005 is gratefully acknowledged. This RCE is to enter the previously entered amendment, which Applicant believes overcomes the relied-upon references, all of which appear to show intervening structure between the relied-upon manifolds and the respective handles. Applicant's previous observations in this regard are incorporated herein.

Appropo the comment in the Advisory Action that the amendments do not have support in the specification, the following analysis from the MPEP is presented. MPEP §2173.05(i) advises that negative limitations and exclusionary provisos must have support in the original disclosure. The mere absence of a positive recitation is not a basis for an exclusion. However, lack of a literal basis in the original disclosure for a negative limitation may not be sufficient to establish a prima facie case of lack of support, referring to MPEP §2163 et seq. for further guidance.

1118-2.AM3